STIMULUS GRANTS EVALUATION CRITERIA

Scoring Guidance

The purpose of the Stimulus Grants is to increase research capacity and support for clinicians and for junior academics who wish to undertake: (a) Small, self-contained studies without other funding; (b) Pilot studies towards the pursuit of more comprehensive initiatives and/or grant applications. The maximum duration of grant support is 24 months and the maximum budget allocated to each project is \$20,000.

Applications are scored in 4 domains: (1) Quality of the Applicant; (2) Project Significance; (3) Project Methodology; (4) Project Feasibility. Reviewers are asked to judge the quality of the application based only on the information provided.

Scoring Guidance for All Domains		
Score	Descriptor	Additional Guidance
1	Exceptional	Exceptionally strong with essentially no weaknesses
2	Outstanding	Extremely strong with negligible weaknesses
3	Excellent	Very strong with few minor weaknesses
4	Very Good	Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses
5	Good	Strong but with at least one moderate weakness
6	Satisfactory	Some strengths but also multiple weaknesses
7	Fair	Some strengths but with at least one major weakness
8	Marginal	Some strengths but also multiple major weaknesses
9	Poor	Very few strengths and multiple major weaknesses

Criteria Considered:

Applicant

- a. The track record and scientific ability of the applicant, as reflected in their application
- b. Experience relevant to the topic of the application
- c. Confidence that they will carry out the proposed research project successfully.
- d. Additional criteria for applicants in the academic stream: (i) publication and grants relative to career stage; (ii) showing early leadership.

Project Significance

- a. Does the project address an interesting topic for which there is limited available information?
- b. Based on the available literature, is this project redundant or of minor incremental value?
- c. Will the results contribute significantly to our understanding of mental disorders OR to the improvement of clinical care?
- d. If the aims of the project are achieved, how will scientific knowledge, technical capability, and/or clinical practice be improved?
- e. For academic stream only: (i) is this project likely to assist the applicant's career development? (ii) is the project likely to provide data for a grant application to external agencies?

Project Methodology

- a. Are there ethical issues, and if so have these been adequately addressed?
- b. Is the methodology clearly described?
- c. Are the overall strategy, methodology, and analyses well-reasoned and appropriate?
- d. Is the study sufficiently powered to achieve the stated aims?

Project Feasibility

- a. Are the aims achievable within the timeframe proposed?
- b. Is there evidence of the feasibility of participant recruitment (if appropriate)?
- c. Is the proposed research project achievable with the infrastructure and other resources as detailed by the applicant?
- d. Are the listed collaborators appropriate in terms of their expertise?